## City of London: Projects Procedure Corporate Risks Register

Project name: Sydenham Hill Redevelopment

Unique project identifier: 11960

Total est cost (exc risk) £46083539

PM's overall risk rating
Avg risk pre-mitigation
Avg risk post-mitigation
Red risks (open)
Amber risks (open)
Green risks (open)

High
10.7
7.6
7
44
3

		Corporate Risk I	Matrix score table	
Minor imp	act	Serious impact	Major impact	E

	Minor impact	Serious impact	Major impact	Extreme impact
Likely	4	8	16	32
Possible	3	6	12	24
Unlikely	2	4	8	16
Rare	1	2	4	8

Costed risks identified (All)
Costed risk pre-mitigation (open)
Costed risk post-mitigation (open)
Costed Risk Provision requested

		_
£6,466,500.00	14%	Costed risk as % of total estimated cost of project
£6,466,500.00	14%	" "
£5,045,500.00	11%	" "
£5,045,500.00	11%	CRP as % of total estimated cost of project

(1) Compliance/Regulatory(2) Financial

(3) Reputation

(4) Contractual/Partnership

(5) H&S/Wellbeing

(6) Safeguarding

(7) Innovation

(8) Technology(9) Environmental

(10) Physical

Number of Open Risks	Avg Score	Costed impact	Red	Amber	Green
9	6.9	£401,500.00	2	7	0
35	7.9	£2,965,500.00	3	29	3
5	5.2	£333,500.00	0	5	0
0	0.0	£0.00	0	0	0
0	0.0	£0.00	0	0	0
0	0.0	£0.00	0	0	0
4	14.0	£865,000.00	2	2	0
0	0.0	£0.00	0	0	0
1	12.0	£480,000.00	0	1	0
0	0.0	£0.00	0	0	0

Issues (open) 0
All Issues 0

	Extreme	Major	Serious	Minor
Open Issues	0	0	0	0
All Issues	0	0	3	0

Cost to resolve all issues (on completion)

£191,106.00

Total CRP used to date

£0.00

## City of London: Projects Procedure Corporate Risks Register

Project Name:	Sydenham Hill Ro	edevelopment	PM's overall risk rating:	High	CRP reque
Unique project identifier:	11960		Total estimated cost (exc risk):	T	Total CRP used

CRP requested this gateway	£	5,045,500	Average unmitigated risk	10.7
Total CRP used to date	£	-	Average mitigated	76
Unspent Risk	£	5,045,500	•	

Open Risks	77
Closed Risks	47

	a uli a u								Miliani and an analization						0	0 0 A ali			
neral risk classifi Category		Risk Impact Description	n Likelihood	Impact	Risk	Costed impact pre-	Costed Risk	Confidence in the	Mitigation actions  Mitigating actions	Mitigation Likelihood	Impact	Costed	Post-	CRP used Use of CRP	Ownershi Date	Named	Risk owner	Date	Comment(s)
Calegory	bescription of the kisk	Risk impact bescription	Classificati				Provision requested		Willigaming denotes	cost (£) Classifica	t Classifica	impact post-	Mitiga	to date	raised		ital (Named	Closed	Comment(s)
			on pre- mitigation	on pre- mitigation			Y/N				tion post- mitigation	mitigation (£)	tion risk			Risk Manager/	Officer or External	OR/ Realised 8	,
	Construction inflation		minganon	miligation					Detailed bat surveys underway, mitigating		minganon		IISK			Manager/	EXIGITION	Rediised &	k
	(labour & materials) due to contract award extension								risk of further delay to contractor appointment, provided the survey results										
(2) Financial	for Sitting Contractor Lovell	Cost/Time	Possible	Serious	6	£500,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	are favourable.	Possible	Serious	£300,000.00	6			ПРМ	NE		
	up to projected main						Jan Oak												
	contract award of end of  Disruption and prolongation								Contractor prelim run rate is £50k/w. Allo	w .									
(2) Financial	associated with the JR	Cost/Time	Unlikely	Major	Q.	£300.000.00	Y - for costed impact	B – Fairly Confident	for 6 week stand-down.		Major	£300,000.00	Q			ПРМ / ПСМ	NE / IO		CCN37 - £106,182.26
(2) Thiancial	appeal process.	Costy in the	OrlineCry	Major		2000,000.00	post-mitigation	D Tally Collidon		Officely	Major	2000,000.00				TH WY TOW	INE / JO		CCN38 - £31,000
(0) 5:	Additional scope gap in the		D 11.1		40	2152 222 22	Y - for costed impact	2 5 11 0 5 1	Ensure contract documents are robust.	20.00		0100 000 00				T D. /			
(2) Financial	Stage 4 design has not been costed for	Cost	Possible	Major	12	£150,000.00	post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident		£0.00 Possible	Major	£100,000.00	12			П РМ	NE		
	Legal fees to support any action against the scheme								Early engagement with legal team.										
	action against the scheme						Y - for costed impact												
(2) Financial		Cost	Possible	Serious	6	£50,000.00	post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident		£0.00 Possible	Serious	£30,000.00	6			CoL	EB		
									Changed building regs require further										
	Change in legislation and								design review. Establish impacts of										
(2) Financial	building regulation	Cost / Reputational / Legal	I Possible	Serious	6	£450,000.00	Y - for costed impact	B – Fairly Confident	changed building regs and account for costs in contract sum.	£0.00 Possible	Serious	£450,000.00	6			П РМ	NE		
	introduces requirement to amend design					·	post-mitigation	,				·							
	-																		
	Neighbouring property rights of light and party wall								Rights of light surveyor appointed - final calculation to be drawn up during										
(1) Compliance	/Re result in compensation.	Cost	Likely	Serious	8	£170,000.00	Y - for costed impact	B – Fairly Confident	planning determination - Appropriate	£0.00 Likely	Minor	£120,000.00	4			CoL	EB		
gulatory						.,	post-mitigation		compensation sum to be set aside in CoL budget. Letters to be issued once	s									
	Impact of an								delegated authority approved  Desktop study made available - Allowand	re l									
(3) Reputation	·	Cost	Possible	Major	12	£100,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	to be made for watching brief during	£0.00 Possible	Serious	£50,000.00	6			CoL	EB		
									The Client will be invited to all Design Tea	m									
							Y - for costed impact		Meetings to ensure that the designs are inline with their requirements.										
(3) Reputation	Client changes	Cost/Programme	Possible	Serious	6	£250,000.00	post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	Presentations to CoL Board members will	£0.00 Possible	Serious	£150,000.00	ь			CoL	EB		
									take place throughout the design period										
	Additional delays to the construction works due to								Existing surveys and desktop information about the site has been shared with the										
(3) Reputation	potential findings by the	Cost/Programme	Possible	Serious	6	£162,000.00	Y - for costed impact	B – Fairly Confident	heritage consultant and will be shared wi	20.00[0111101]	Serious	£81,000.00	4			CoL	EB		
	Archaeologist during any requisite Watching Brief						post-mitigation		contractor. Allowance for costs related to unforeseen delay to be made. Allowance	I I									
	Harm to Ecology								Ensure relevant ecology surveys are										
	main to Leology								completed and results known, with any										
(3) Reputation		Reputational	Possible	Major	12	£75,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	planning conditions met and appropriate protection measures made by contractor	00 0010 000	Major	£37,500.00	4			CoL	EB		
							posi-minganon		LEMP procured to satisfy LBL's ecology										
									officer that protection measures are in										
	Underground discoverables. I.e. Disruption to existing	•							Residents will be made aware of the work taking place with appropriate notice.	S									
(0) Fire are a led	services; Risk of diversions of		I Stanta	14 - i - n	46	2250 000 00	Y - for costed impact	B – Fairly Confident	Should there be any disruption to the services they will be notified well in	00.00131	A 4 == = = =	0250 000 00	46			PBA Utilities/Service	ces -		
(2) Financial	services required	Financial / Reputational	Likely	Major	16	£350,000.00	post-mitigation	B - Fairly Confident	advance by the communications	£0.00 Likely	Major	£350,000.00	16			Moves to			
									consultant. Contractor to make reference to existing utility records, scans and survey	I I						Contractor			
	Wayfinding and lighting		1						Wayfinding and lighting proposals will be										
10: -	needs to be signed off by						Y - for costed impact		presented to the planning authorities for							CoL/Lighting	&		
(3) Reputation	the LPA.	Reputational / Health & Safety	y Possible	Serious	6	£15,000.00	post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	approval. A separate lighting strategy is to procured to support the application's	£0.00 Possible	Serious	£15,000.00	6			Ecology Consultant			
									progression to determination and							Consonan			
	Factors impacting on site handover.								CoL are to ensure that the site is left secured and inspected prior to the										
	Handovel.	Reputational / Cost /					V for costad increase.		appointment of a Contractor on site.										
(2) Financial		Programme	Likely	Serious	8	£50,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	Contractors are to ensure site is secure during construction phase. Concrete	£0.00 Possible	Serious	£40,000.00	6			CoL	EB		
									blocks and Sitex panels are in place until										
	The need for some to as to all								contractors mobilise and take ownership	of									
	The need for services being diverted to parts of the new								Surveys carried out to determine where the new services will be located.										
(1) 0 - " "	footprint of the building						V faces I I'		Correspondence PBA have had with the							DDA /			
(1) Compliance gulatory	/Re (ballcourt, Mais House, wall, garages) .	Cost	Likely	Major	16	£135,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	utilities engineers to date have advised that no diversionary works are required to	£0.00 Likely	Serious	£67,500.00	8			PBA / Contractor			
,							. 5		accommodate the demolition /										
									construction of the new build. Utilities constraints plan to be included within										
	Cranes will need to over sail	I							Prior notice will be given to residents and										
(1) Compliance	existing properties /Re						Y - for costed impact		necessary consent obtained. Construction logistics and management plans will be										
gulatory	,	Cost / Programme	Possible	Major	12	£120,000.00	post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	produced where necessary.	£0.00 Possible	Serious	£10,000.00	6			CoL	EB		
									Assumption is freehold will allow for this, licence may be required. Damages /										
1	ĺ	1	I	1						1						1			1

	Disruption, noise and vibration from construction works impacts local occupiers & neighbours.  Cost / Progra	mme Lik	rely	Serious	8	£20,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation  B – Fairly Confident	Include CoL standard hours of permitted work in prelims. Ensure residents are notified in advance of disruptive works. The contractor will be expected to put sufficient measures in place to ensure that minimal noise and dust is created. Planning conditions and prelims will determine when contractors are permitted to carry out noisy works and any additional conditions of such works being undertaken. CoL may want to provide some light relief	£0.00 Pos	sible Sei	erious	£20,000.00	6	CoL/Contractor	
R58 (1) Compliance/Regulatory	Delays arranging installation of utilities  Cost / Progra	mme Po	ossible	Major	12	£54,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation  B - Fairly Confident	Engage utilities company early so that the contractor is in position to commission early in the project, ensuring lead in times from installation are within programme	£0.00 Unli	kely Mc	ajor	£54,000.00	8	PBA Utilities/Contrac tor	
(1) Compliance/Re	Additional drainage requirements: difficult connections due to issues with existing drainage  Cost / Progra	mme Po	ossible	Major	12	£50,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation B – Fairly Confident	Reference to be made to drainage drawing. A CCTV drainage survey has been undertaken and provided to Contractors as part of the ITT.	£0.00 Pos	sible Sei	rious	£50,000.00	6	CoL / Contractor / PBA  EB	
	Despite there being no previously identified ground contamination during ground investigation, there is a risk of localised hotspots being contaminated.  Cost / Progra	mme Po	ossible	Extreme	24	£100,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation  B – Fairly Confident	There are no known major sources of contamination within the site and the historic and the current use of the site makes the presence of significant concentrations of potential contaminants unlikely. The presence of localised areas of contamination associated with, for example, the storage and use of fuel oils cannot, at this time, can be discounted. Remediation and Verification reports likely to be required within planning conditions. Additional opening out works of existing	£0.00 Pos	sible Mc	ajor	£80,000.00	2	Contractor	
	Additional fees required for consultants due to programme prolongation.	Lik	rely	Serious	8	£100,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation  B - Fairly Confident	Construction cost to be monitored, all variations to consider any related consultant fees before instructions are made. Appropriate contingency fees set	£0.00 Like	sly Ser	rious	£50,000.00	8	CoL EB	
R85 (2) Financial	Provision for temporary play space required. Recreational Cost facilities/residents support	Ро	ossible	Serious	6	£20,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation  B – Fairly Confident	Specify sequencing of works / provide temporary play space	£0.00 Pos	sible Mii	nor	£10,000.00	3	CoL EB	